UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,248	04/14/2005	Takemasa Fujino	112857-439	6200
	7590 12/19/200 & LLOYD, LLP	8	EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 113.	5		MARTIN, ANGELA J	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/531,248	FUJINO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ANGELA J. MARTIN	1795					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Se</u>	entember 2008						
	action is non-final.						
		secontion as to the morits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>25,26 and 28-51</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-48</u> is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6) Claim(s) <u>25,26,28-31 and 49-51</u> is/are rejected							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c							
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>		(4) (5)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	o□	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/531,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on September 12, 2008. The Applicant has amended claim 25; canceled claim 27; added new claims 49, 50, 51. However, Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, this action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 25, 26, 28-31, 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Masanori et al., JP 08-195201 (machine translation).

Masanori et al., teach an anode, comprising: a particulate anode active material; and a particulate binder containing at least one compound selected from the group consisting of copolymers including vinylidene fluoride and polyvinylidene fluoride

Application/Control Number: 10/531,248

Art Unit: 1795

(abstract). An anode according to claim 25, wherein the anode active material includes at least one substituent selected from the group consisting of one or more simple substances of elements capable of forming an alloy with lithium and compounds thereof (0012). An anode according to claim 25, which is formed by using an anode mixture slurry that includes: the anode active material; the binder; and a dispersion medium (0007) having a swelling degree of about 10% or less to the binder (0010). An anode according to claim 29, which is formed by using an anode mixture slurry, wherein at least the binder is dispersed in the dispersion medium, and then the anode active material is dispersed (0007).

Page 3

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Alternatively, it is noted that claims 25, 49, 50, 51 are product-by-process claims. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Since Masanori et al.'s binder is the same as Applicant's, Applicant's process is not given patentable weight in the claims. There is no permanent structural change of the binder through heating.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,248 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

4. Claims 25, 26, 31, 49, 50, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yoshio et al., JP 2000-036323 (machine translation).

Yoshio et al., teach an anode comprising particulate anode active material (0033), and a binder containing polyvinyldene fluoride (0031); wherein an average particle diameter of the binder ranges from about 30 .mu.m or less (0031). An anode according to claim 29, which is formed by using an anode mixture slurry, wherein an average particle diameter of the binder is about 30 .mu.m or less (0031).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Alternatively, it is noted that claims 25, 49, 50, 51 are product-by-process claims. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Since Yoshio et al.'s binder is the same as Applicant's, Applicant's process is not given patentable weight in the claims. There is no permanent structural change of the binder through heating.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1795

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is (571)272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,248 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJM Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Application Number

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	10/531,248	FUJINO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANGELA I MADTIN	1705		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20081216